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1. Introduction

Diffusion, convection and turbulence play a dominant role in transport of pollutants in the atmosphere of the Earth. These phenomena constitute basic mechanisms of the exchange of mass, momentum, and energy. As these processes are complex, it is justifiable to develop a simplified description of spatial spreading of the pollutants. A parameterization of these processes must be applied, i.e. elementary physical factors ought to be distinguished. By means of these factors, the state of medium – in this case the atmospheric air – will be described. Moreover, one has to formulate relationships between various factors and their magnitudes, such as spatial distribution of the pollutants and profiles of the wind velocity, air temperature, and intensity of mixing. These relationships can be found on the basis of theoretical and experimental considerations.
As a monitoring network must be established and maintained, the experimental research of the Earth’s atmosphere is expensive. The results which can be obtained in this way usually refer to a limited set of spatial points and parameters. It is also impossible to separate all factors that exert an influence on conditions of measurements. As a consequence of that, it is very difficult to make a correct analysis of these results. Besides, the results obtained in the monitoring stations provide only an image of the event which already took place, and as such they show effects of these events only. On the other hand, laboratory experiments allow us to investigate various factors. Unfortunately, their differentiation is often constrained by insufficient technical abilities.

Meanwhile, as computer techniques have been developed and computing power in both speed and storage increases, mathematical modeling of real physical phenomena acquires more and more significance. Each model is characterized by a mathematical structure, time-, and spatial-scales, as well as by a type and a number of introduced data. The state of the atmosphere and parameters of emission are given then by characteristic coefficients which can be determined experimentally. This kind of approach refers to statistical models and to models that are based on a Gauss’ equation or a differential Pasquill’s equation [1]. Computer simulations consist of numerical solutions of mathematical equations that describe both spreading and transformation of particles or certain volumes of fluids. The world literature presents an abundance of models which within certain limits reveal a good agreement with experiments, e.g. [1–6].

Mathematical modeling possesses many advantages. It can assess the existing state of the air-pollution, simulate the effect of future emission concentrations as well as predict a risk due to fatal emissions. Numerical modeling is expected to provide accurate data for urban and country planning, location of future emission centers and design of protected zones.

Recently, two-dimensional numerical simulations of continuous emission of one-component gas into the gravitationally stratified, isothermal and static atmosphere were performed by Michalczyk et al. [4, 7, 8]. It is natural now to analyze the spatial distribution of pollutants that are transported by wind. In the simplest conceivable model a wind velocity vector is horizontal and time-independent. However, two different vertical profiles are considered. The first profile corresponds to a height-independent velocity. In another profile the wind velocity grows linearly with height. Having determined the wind profile, we adapt a modern numerical code that solves a set of hydrodynamic equations which describe the dynamics of the pollutants and the ambient air. This model is expected to give more adequate results than other models which are based on a single advection equation, e.g. [6].

The paper is organized as follows. A model of the atmosphere is presented briefly in Section 2. A numerical model and the numerical code are described in Section 3. Section 4 presents a discussion of the numerical results. This paper is concluded by a short summary of the main results.
2. Mathematical model

The dynamics of air-pollutants can be described by the hydrodynamic equations:

\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{V}) = S_\rho + S_D, \quad (1) \]
\[ \frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{V})}{\partial t} + [\rho \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla ] \mathbf{V} = -\nabla p + \rho \mathbf{g} + S_\mathbf{V}, \quad (2) \]
\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (E \mathbf{V}) = -\nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{V}) + S_E, \quad (3) \]

which reveal three physical principles, namely: the mass is conserved, 2nd Newton’s law is fulfilled, and the energy is conserved. In Equations (1)–(3) \( \rho \) is the mass density, \( \mathbf{V} \) is the velocity, \( \mathbf{g} \) is the gravitational acceleration, \( t \) denotes time, \( p \) stands for the gas pressure, and \( E = \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{\rho V^2}{2} \) is the total (internal and kinetic) energy density, where \( \gamma = c_p/c_V = 1.4 \) is the adiabatic constant that is expressed by the ratio of specific heats \( c_p \) and \( c_V \). The \( x \)-axis lies in the horizontal direction and \( y \) denotes vertical coordinate.

In our model we assumed inviscid flow by dropping the viscous terms in the general set of the hydrodynamic equations. These viscous terms are negligibly small for large spatial scales which we want to study. Henceforth, we limit our discussion to a two-dimensional case with \( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = 0 \).

In Equation (1), \( S_D \) is a diffusive term such that:
\[ S_D = \nabla \cdot [D(x,y,t,\rho) \nabla \rho(x,y,t)]. \quad (4) \]

In the real atmosphere this diffusion is referred to as molecular diffusion. Assuming that the diffusive coefficient \( D \) is a constant, the latter equation can be rewritten as:
\[ S_D = D \nabla^2 \rho. \]

The quantities \( S_\rho, S_\mathbf{V} \) and \( S_E \) denote the source terms which are associated with the emission of pollutants. If the emitter of its width \( x_0 \) is placed at the height \( y = y_0 \), the emission that takes place for \( y > y_0 \) can be realized as follows:
\[ \rho_e = \rho_0 e^{-\frac{y-y_0}{x_0}}, \quad V_{ey} = \text{const}. \quad (5) \]

As a consequence of that the source term \( S_\rho \) is:
\[ S_\rho = \begin{cases} \rho_e e^{-\frac{y-y_0}{x_0}} & y \geq y_0, \\ 0 & y < y_0, \end{cases} \quad (6) \]

where \( \rho_e = \rho_0 V_{ey} A \) is the flux of the pollutants that are emitted from the area \( A \), \( \rho_0 \) and \( V_{ey} \) are density and velocity of the pollutants, respectively, and \( x_0 \) is a spatial unit. The remaining source terms \( S_\mathbf{V} \) and \( S_E \) are given by the following expressions:
\[ S_\mathbf{V} = \rho_e V_{ey} \hat{y}, \quad (7) \]
\[ S_E = \frac{\rho_e}{\gamma - 1} \frac{V_{ey}}{x_0} - \frac{1}{2} V^2 (S_\rho + S_D) + \mathbf{V} \cdot S_\mathbf{V} - \rho \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{g}. \quad (8) \]

The source term \( S_\rho \) that is given by Equation (6) acts above the emitter only. There is no emission below and at both sides of the smokestack and \( S_\rho \) equals zero there. In this paper it is assumed that the emission is time-independent and as a consequence of that \( S_\rho \) does not depend on \( t \).
3. Numerical model

3.1. Numerical code

Our approach is based on a use of the CLAWPACK (Conservation LAWs PACKage) code which is a collection of Fortran routines for solving a hyperbolic system of conservation laws. The general structure of the code is described in details in the user notes by LeVeque [9].

For the numerical purposes, the hydrodynamics Equations (1)–(3) are written in the conservative form:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{u} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{f} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{S},
\]

where \( \mathbf{S} \) and \( \mathbf{u} \) denote the source vector and the state vector, respectively, such that:

\[
\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho, \rho V_x, \rho V_y, E \end{bmatrix}^T.
\]

The symbols \( \mathbf{f} \) and \( \mathbf{g} \) are the vector fluxes:

\[
\mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho V_x, \rho V_x^2 + p, \rho V_x V_y, EV_x \end{bmatrix}^T \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{g} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho V_y, \rho V_y V_x, \rho V_y^2 + p, EV_y \end{bmatrix}^T.
\]

The CLAWPACK code uses a wave propagation method [10, 11]. Additionally, a Riemann solver is adapted. The Riemann solver decomposes data at cell edges into a set of waves and wave speeds. The most famous Riemann solver is due to Roe [12]. To suppress numerically induced oscillations, \( \mathbf{u} \) limiters are used.

Problems with source terms \( \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) \) are generally solved using the Godunov splitting in which the solution of the homogeneous equation,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{u} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{f} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mathbf{g} = 0,
\]

is alternated with solutions of the equation \( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) \). An exception is the gravity source term that is treated by the Godunov splitting method, developed by LeVeque [13]. This method is essentially based on implementation of a Riemann problem at the center of each grid cell whose flux difference exactly cancels the source term. Such approach has no problem with preserving steady states and it accurately calculates small perturbations of such states.

The method used here is a finite-volume method on a uniform rectangular grid \( \Delta x, \Delta y \). In two dimensions Equation (9) can be discretized as follows:

\[
U_{i,j}^{n+1} = U_{i,j}^n - \Delta t \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left( F_{i+1/2,j} - F_{i-1/2,j} \right) + \frac{1}{\Delta y} \left( G_{i,j+1/2} - G_{i,j-1/2} \right) \right],
\]

where \( U_{i,j}^n \) is an average of \( \mathbf{u}(x = i \cdot \Delta x, y = j \cdot \Delta y, t = n \cdot \Delta t) \) over the numerical cell \( (i,j) \), \( \mathbf{F} \) and \( \mathbf{G} \) are fluxes that are evaluated at cell interfaces. The waves arising from a solution of Equation (11) are then used to define second-order correction terms. A transverse splitting of the waves is also performed to improve stability and resolution.

We have adapted this code by setting initial and boundary conditions as well as introduced a procedure for numerical realization of emission and diffusion.

3.2. Initial and boundary conditions

Initially, at \( t = 0 \), the density \( \rho_0 \) and pressure \( p_0 \) correspond to the isothermal atmosphere of its temperature \( T_0 = 293 \text{K} \) such as:

\[
\rho_0(y) = \frac{\rho_0}{g h_p} e^{-\frac{y}{h_p}},
\]

\[
p_0(y) = \rho_0 e^{-\frac{y}{h_p}}.
\]
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They are drawn schematically in Figure 1. In the latter equations $p_0 = 1013.25\, \text{hPa}$ is the ground ($y = 0$) pressure with the mass density $\rho_0 = 1.29\, \text{kg/m}^3$, and $h_p = 8077\, \text{m}$ represents an altitude at which the pressure decreases $e$ times. These values provide the sound speed $c_s = 333\, \text{m/s}$.

Figure 1. Equilibrium profiles of the mass density $\rho_0$, pressure $p_0$, and temperature $T_0$ for the case of the isothermal atmosphere

The simulation box is given by $-15x_0 \leq x \leq x_{\text{max}}$ and $0 \leq y \leq y_{\text{max}}$, where $x_0$ is the width of the emitter (Figure 2). We assume that the width of the emitter is $25x_0$. The left boundary is the inflow boundary which is set by specification of the wind mass density $\rho_w = \rho_0$ and the wind speed $V_w$ at $x = -15x_0$. The bottom boundary ($y = 0$) corresponds to a rigid wall with $V_y(x, y = 0) = 0$. So, a signal does not cross this boundary. This condition is not important for light pollution which is convected upwards and does not reach the bottom boundary. As a consequence of that, we neglected roughness of the ground.

The top ($y = y_{\text{max}}$) and right boundaries ($x = x_{\text{max}}$) are in the present model entirely open. So, any signal can easily leave the simulation area. The location of these two boundaries varies in dependence on the wind speed (Table 1). The computational area is divided into an uniform grid with the number of points which are adjusted to a wind speed (Table 1).

The emission is realized by specifying the source terms which are given by Equations (6)–(8). In the present simulations the mass density $\rho_e$, the emission velocity $V_{ey}$, and the temperature $T_e$ of the emitted pollutants are set constant such that: $\rho_e = 0.1\rho_0$, $V_{ey} = 15\, \text{m/s}$, and $T_e - T_n = 5(T_0 - T_n)$, where $T_n$ is normal temperature of the air, viz. 273K.
In the case of \(y\)-independent wind speed we performed a number of numerical runs with various wind speeds, i.e.: (a) \(V_w = 2.5\) m/s, (b) \(V_w = 5\) m/s, (c) \(V_w = 7.5\) m/s, (d) \(V_w = 10\) m/s, and (e) \(V_w = 15\) m/s (see Table 1). The influence of constant diffusion with \(D = 0.2\) cm\(^2\)/s is analysed in two cases, i.e.: (f) \(V_w = 2.5\) m/s, and (g) \(V_w = 10\) m/s.

**Table 1.** Parameters for numerical runs (a)–(i)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Wind speed</th>
<th>Number of cells along direction</th>
<th>Actual distance covered along direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(V_w \text{ [m/s]})</td>
<td>(x)</td>
<td>(y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diffusion-free cases, (D = 0)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diffusive cases, (D \neq 0)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linear wind profile</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h)</td>
<td>5 (\rightarrow) 7.5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>10 (\rightarrow) 15</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, we performed numerical simulations for the wind speed that grows linearly with height in such a way that at \(y = 100x_0\) the wind speed is \(\frac{3}{2}\) times higher than the wind speed at the ground \((y = 0)\), i.e. \(V_w(y = 100x_0) = \frac{3}{2}V_w(y = 0)\). These two cases are denoted by (h) \(V_w = 5 \rightarrow 7.5\) m/s and (i) \(V_w = 10 \rightarrow 15\) m/s in Table 1.

4. Numerical results

4.1. Constant wind speed

In this part of the paper we consider the case of \(y\)-independent wind speed, \(V_w \neq V_w(y)\).

Figure 3 displays spatial mass density profiles at time \(t = 15\) s which corresponds to quasi-stationary state. The wind speed \(V_w\) is chosen equal 2.5 m/s. The diffusion-free \((D = 0)\) and diffusive \((D = 0.2\) cm\(^2\)/s) cases are denoted respectively by (a) and (f) in Table 1 and are shown in Figure 3, bottom left and right panels, respectively. For comparison purposes the top panel of Figure 3 shows the case of static \((V_w = 0)\) atmosphere. Development of a plume and vortices is clearly seen here. This plume is convected upwards as a result of the buoyancy force. The vortices are a consequence of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities which result from a velocity gradient. A spatial distribution of pollution is considerably affected by the wind which entirely alters the initially vertical direction of the emission (bottom left panel of Figure 3). A number of clouds that exhibit various spatial scales are characteristic for mild wind. The vortices, which occur at the border between the plume and the ambient air, penetrate a region far away from the emittor. The effect of diffusion is to enhance a vertical spreading of pollutants as well as restructure the flows far away from the emittor (bottom right panel of Figure 3).

Figure 4 displays the results for various values of the wind speed: \(V_w = 5\) m/s (top), \(V_w = 10\) m/s (middle), and \(V_w = 15\) m/s (bottom), respectively. A comparison
Figure 3. Spatial mass density profiles in the case of static ($V_w = 0$) atmosphere (top) as well as diffusion-free (bottom left panel) and diffusive (bottom right panel) cases for the wind speed $V_w = 2.5\text{m/s}$.

of these figures leads to a conclusion, that the wind speed influences both the vertical spreading of the plume and the distance which is covered by the pollutants. For stronger wind a plume can be transmitted farther away from the emitter and it is more collimated (the plume extends less in the vertical direction).

The mass density decreases with the distance down from the emitter and the clouds of pollution are formed. A distance at which separate clouds begin to be formed, grows with wind strength. It is higher than 50m (Figure 4, top), 150m (Figure 4, middle), and 250m (Figure 4, bottom) in the case of the wind speed 5m/s, 10m/s, and 15m/s, respectively. These clouds are accompanied by small vortices (e.g., $x = 110x_0$ and $y = 65x_0$ in Figure 4, top).

The results for $V_w = 10\text{m/s}$ and $V_w = 15\text{m/s}$ reveal clouds of pollution which develop along the outskirts of the plume. These clouds originate from the Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) instabilities which lead to a presence of vortices. A growth rate $\Gamma = \text{Im}(\omega)$ of the KH instabilities can be estimated as (e.g. [14]):

$$\Gamma^2 = \frac{\rho_0 \cdot (\rho_0 + \rho_e)}{[\rho_0 + (\rho_0 + \rho_e)]^2} [k \cdot (V_e - V_0)]^2,$$

(13)
Figure 4. Spatial mass density profiles for the wind speeds $V_w = 5\text{m/s (top),}$ $V_w = 10\text{m/s (middle),}$ and $V_w = 15\text{m/s (bottom)}$ where $\rho_c$, $\rho_0$, $\vec{V}_e$ and $\vec{V}_0$ are the mass densities and velocities of the pollution and the ambient air, respectively. The wave number $k$ of the wave with its length $\lambda$ is represented by $k = 2\pi/\lambda$, and $\omega = 2\pi/T$ is the circular frequency of the wave. The period $T$ corresponds to the time of appearance of the following concentrated clouds. If we assume that the distance between the centers of the neighbouring clouds is equal to the wavelength $\lambda$, then the period $T$ for $V_w = 10\text{m/s (Figure 4, middle)}$ can be estimated from Equation (13) as equal 4 s, in agreement with the numerical results.

In the case of the wind speed $V_w$ equals to the emission speed $V_{ey}$, i.e. $V_w = V_{ey} = 15\text{m/s (Figure 4, bottom),}$ a straight horizontal plume is developed. This plume is hardly altered in the region $x < 100x_0$, where it is bounded from above and below by the streams of the air. In the region $x > 100x_0$ the plume topology is altered by the presence of vortices. As a result of buoyancy force the plume is shifted upwards. At $x = 250x_0$ its center is at $y > 25x_0$.

For the wind speed $V_w = 10\text{m/s we simulated the case of the diffusion $D = 0.2\text{cm}^2/\text{s (not shown).}$ A comparison of this case to the diffusion-free case (Figure 4, middle) leads to a conclusion that in the case of a high wind speed the effect of diffusion is negligibly small.
4.2. Linear wind profile

We consider here the case of the wind speed which grows linearly with the height $y$. We assume that the wind speed at the altitude $y = 100x_0$, $V_w(y = 100x_0)$, is 50% higher than the speed at the ground, $V_w(y = 0)$. Specifically, we consider the case of $V_w(y = 0) = 10\text{m/s}$, then $V_w(y = 100x_0) = 15\text{m/s}$. This case is presented in Figure 5, which reveals a general structure of the plume. The ripples occur in the region $x > 90x_0$. They are more developed than in Figure 4, middle panel. As a consequence of the linear wind profile these ripples are asymmetric, i.e. the top ripples are more pronounced than the bottom ones. In this case an action of the buoyancy force is also more apparent because the plume is shifted up more than in Figure 4, middle panel.

![Figure 5. Spatial mass density profile for the linear wind speed with $V_w(y = 0) = 10\text{m/s}$](image)

4.3. Wave motion

In this part of the paper we present the results of spectral analyses of the mass density profiles. These analyses lead to the wave number $k$ and the circular frequency $\omega$. Thereby, we will characterize the wavy character of the spreading of the pollution in the atmosphere.

In the simulation area, at the altitude that is equal to the height of the emittor, i.e. $y_e = 25x_0$, we place four detectors at $x_1 = 50x_0$, $x_2 = 100x_0$, $x_3 = 150x_0$, and $x_4 = 200x_0$. These detectors collect the mass density as a function of time, $\rho = \rho(x_n, y = y_e, t)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$. A typical mass density profile is presented in Figure 6 which reveals oscillations.

![Figure 6. Time signature of a signal which is collected at the height $y = 25x_0$ and the horizontal position $x_1 = 50x_0$. The wind speed $V_w$ is $y$-independent and equals $10\text{m/s}$](image)
A spectral analysis of this profile leads to the frequency spectra which are shown in Figure 7.

Two different spectral lines are located at $\omega_1 \equiv 7$ Hz and $\omega_2 \equiv 14$ Hz. The latter frequency occurs as a result of quadratic nonlinearity which generates frequencies at $\omega_n = n\omega_1$, $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ [15].

A detailed description of a frequency shift is presented in Figure 8 which illustrates the frequency as a function of the distance $x$.

It is noteworthy that for $V_w = 10$ m/s the frequency $\omega_1 \equiv 7$ Hz is shifted towards the higher frequency with the distance from the emitter. In the case of $V_w = 15$ m/s the basic frequency $\omega_1 \equiv 7$ Hz is reduced with the distance. The spectral line at $\omega_2 \equiv 30$ Hz is very weak and therefore it is not taken into consideration in our study. Note that for the strong wind of $V_w = 15$ m/s the basic frequency $\omega_1 \equiv 15$ Hz (represented by crosses) decreases slightly with $x$. The signal associated with $\omega_2$ is very weak and this frequency is not presented in Figure 8. However, it exhibits the same tendency as $\omega_1$. The weaker wind of $V_w = 10$ m/s leads to an increase (a decrease) of $\omega_1$ ($\omega_2$) with $x$. The frequency shift is a consequence of space- and time-dependent random field which is able to shift either up or down frequencies due to scattering [16, 17].
To obtain a spectrum for the wavenumber \( k \) we proceed as follows. We collect a signal as a function of \( x \) at \( y_e = 25x_0 \) for given time \( t \), \( \rho(x, y = y_e, t) \). An example of such signal for \( V_{ey} = 15 \text{ m/s} \) is presented in Figure 9.

The corresponding wavenumber, that results from the spectral analysis of such signal, is shown in Figure 10, which reveals that most of the spectral power is centered at \( k \approx 1 \text{ m}^{-1} \).

Having determined the frequency \( \omega \) and the wavenumber \( k \), we compute the phase speed \( \omega/k \).

With a use of the results of the above presented analyses, we obtain the speed which is close to the wind speed. However, for the first and second spectral lines the phase speed essentially decreases with \( x \). The strongest decrease is observed for the third spectral line (Figure 11).

**5. Summary**

In this paper we have developed a numerical model of the transport of air-pollutants in two-dimensional windy atmosphere. The numerical results reveal a number of interesting phenomena such as complex profiles of the pollutants, a plume development and a presence of vortices which result from Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities.
Figure 11. The phase speed that results from the spectral profiles $\omega$ and $k$ which are obtained for $x_1 = 50x_0$, $x_2 = 100x_0$, $x_3 = 150x_0$ and for $V_w = 10\text{m/s}$. Stars, triangles and squares represent the first, second and third spectral line, respectively.

The spatial and temporal profiles have been analysed spectrally to obtain the wavenumber and frequency spectra. The results of this analysis show a frequency shift with the distance from the emitter. The frequency either increases or decreases with the distance $x$. This shift is a consequence of random scattering.

The random field, that is self-generated by the medium as a result of the interaction between the emission and the horizontal wind, is associated with the mass density, velocity, and pressure as well as it depends on time and space. Such random field enhances spatial spreading of pollutants.

Obviously, the two-dimensional model consists a crude approximation of the three-dimensional atmosphere as in this model the emitter is infinitely wide along the $y$-direction. However, our approach is justified as it recovers phenomena which would not be resolved accurately, as a consequence of their complexity, in a three-dimensional case which is left for future studies.
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